

Facility	System Used	Would Purchase Again?	Additional Information
ORG A	TIMS	Yes	<p><b>Benefits:</b> Able to edit and combine images, combining and labeling for each consistency presented; Able to playback video with patient/family present, improving overall education regarding recommendations; Able to upload directly to PACS; Able to slow down video for more precise editing and patient/family education. This has helped us be better able to implement the MBSimp protocol at our hospital for scoring videos; Able to add audio comments during study; Improved image quality from our previous system. <b>Drawbacks:</b> Takes a little time to set up the unit; Infrequent technical difficulties when sending studies to the TIMS DICOM Review Software (TDRS)</p>
ORG B	KayPentax	Yes - for pediatric patients	<p><b>Pros:</b> Radiologist can "chase" the kid if they move during the study; Study uploads to the endoport to allow for remote viewing for those with access only; Radiologist is in the fluoro suite with you to allow for more timely collaboration. <b>Cons:</b> Can only use the TMM-3 chair with Tumbleform as needed.</p>
ORG C	TIMS	Yes - for adult patients	<p><b>Pros:</b> Accommodates use of patient wheelchair as seating; uploads to PACS to allow remote viewing for all users. <b>Cons:</b> Patient must stay in the same field/no ability to move arm/chase the patient; Radiologist remains in the control room which can complicate timely collaboration</p>
ORG D	TIMS	Yes	<p>Would use same system for consistency purposes, have not investigated other possible options.</p>

ORG E	Pentax 9310HD	<p>No. We have had numerous issues with the wire connections, clarity of the images/recordings, and the overall quality of the unit components. It has failed us on many occasions and we have had to send it in to Pentax for repair. We have a second unit that is used infrequently at a different location that has had no issues; but our main unit is used many times a day and it has not held up well in the last 2.5-3 years.</p>	<p><b>Drawbacks:</b> there are many—lack of onsite or local service/repair, fragility of the parts when moving it from one place to another (although it is on wheels and intended to be portable, the part connections often come loose, even when rolling between fluoro rooms), difficulty reaching customer service, multiple components—if one part is not working, such as the ScanMaxx, the entire unit fails, and it's a very heavy unit to push around. <b>Benefits:</b> portability, ease of showing parents the image on the screen (it has an adjustable arm), endoportals that allows us to watch the study from a desktop (when it is working)</p>
-------	---------------	--	--

ORG F	TIMS	<p>I WOULD use TIMS again if replacing or adding to our system. They offer mandatory online and in person training prior to practical use with patients which we have found to be helpful. They are based on Massachusetts but have been available via telephone to help with any troubleshooting. To have this system, your IT and radiology department will have to be involved somewhat in order to have a successful set up.</p>	<p><b>Benefits:</b> can edit, use images for presentations, play back immediately on various speeds and magnifications, images sent to PACS, can view studies remotely as they have software that can be set up on your desk top and study can be sent to your computer, <b>Drawbacks:</b> not many but I would say that this is not a perfect system- have had to call TIMS for assistance with some 'hiccups' with our PACS system not communicating with the TIMS system. The set up process is high maintenance requiring multiple cables/connections</p>
ORG G	TIMS	Yes	Very happy with that equipment and it easily sends to PACS.

ORG H	TIMS	Yes	<p><b>Benefits:</b> It can send reports to the Radiology EMR. You can also view past MBS studies on one side of screen while viewing most current study on the other side. This view makes it easier to see changes in the studies. <b>Drawback:</b> We used to use KayPentex equipment and you could search based on diagnosis. This feature made it easy to get a report of all patients with certain diagnoses. This is not an option with the TIMS equipment.</p>
ORG I	TIMS	Yes	
ORG J	Just transitioned from Kay Pentax DSW to TIMS	I would not purchase the DSW again as the support is not optimal and the quality of the images to review is much better on the TIIMS	We are still getting used to the TIMS but there are lots of benefits we have found so far with one of the best being the image quality. We can also send to PACS from the system and review studies on their TDRS software.
ORG K	Currently use Kay Pentax, considering transition to TIMS	Maybe	When one of our satellite clinics opened, there was a TIMS system included in a bundle with the Siemens imaging equipment. We are planning to test the equipment at one of our locations to determine pros/cons for our practice in comparison to Kay Pentax to make decisions regarding purchases moving forward

Original Questions

1. What system do you use to record videofluoroscopic swallow studies?
2. If replacing your equipment, would you purchase this same equipment again?
3. Is there anything specific benefits or drawbacks to the current equipment you are using that you would like to share?